

1
2
3
4

5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7
8 RICHARD SHORES,

9 Plaintiff,

No. C 08-00541 MHP

10 v.

11 JAMES DAVIS, Chairman, California Board
of Parole Hearings,

ORDER

12 Defendant.
13 /

14 On January 24, 2008 plaintiff Richard Shores filed suit against the California Board of Parole
15 Hearings (“BPH”). Plaintiff seeks this court’s assistance in directing the BPH to conduct a parole
16 suitability hearing on the limited issue of his housing plans and affirming the BPH’s January 12,
17 2006 decision that plaintiff is suitable for parole.

18 In order to proceed upon this case, the court orders plaintiff to submit the following
19 information: 1) details regarding plaintiff’s housing plans at the level of specificity required by the
20 BPH, including where plaintiff will live and how he intends to pay for the same; and 2) details of
21 events that transpired at the January 12, 2006 parole hearing as well as all subsequent parole
22 hearings, including determinations and decisions made.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24
25
26
27
28

Dated: 3/4/2008


MARILYN HALL PATEL
United States District Court Judge
Northern District of California